

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERLAW OFFICES
STAAS & HALSEY LLP

OCT 25 2005

Telephone
(202) 434-15001201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005Facsimile
(202) 434-1501FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
October 25, 2005

TO : USPTO

ATTN:

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

TELEPHONE:

FROM: Paul Bobowiec (202) 454-1572

RE: Letter To The Examiner filed by certificate of facsimile transmission

YOUR REFERENCE: 10/715,822

OUR DOCKET:1619.1026

NO. OF PAGES (Including this Cover Sheet) 3

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited. If there are any problems with this transmission, please contact us immediately.

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 25, 2005
STAAS & HALSEY
By: Paul E. Bobo
Date: October 25, 2005

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
Docket No.: 1619.1026

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kazuyuki MATSUMOTO et al.

Serial No. 10/715,822

Group Art Unit: 2676

Confirmation No. 3651

Filed: November 19, 2003

Examiner: Antonio A. Caschera

For: IMAGES SIMULATION PROCESSING METHOD, PROCESSING APPARATUS AND
PROGRAMRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 25 2005

LETTER TO THE EXAMINER REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF THE FINALITY OF THE
PRESENT OFFICE ACTION SINCE THE ACTION IS INCOMPLETECommissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: BOX AF

Sir:

The Final Office Action mailed July 26, 2005 (current Action) rejects claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, and 13-18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukoyama (U.S.P. 6,831,659 B1) in view of Palm (U.S.P. 5,742,291). These rejections are the same as in the previous Office Action mailed February 10, 2005 (previous Action). Applicants filed an Amendment on May 10, 2005 traversing the rejections of the previous Action.

In item 4 of the current Action, entitled Response to Arguments, while the Examiner has responded to some of the arguments filed in the Amendment, the Examiner has not replied to all of the arguments.

That is, the Examiner has not replied to the arguments presented on page 11 of the Amendment that none of the cited art, alone or in combination, discuss as recited in each of the independent claims 1, 5, 9, and 13-18, respectively, a method, a display, an apparatus, and a computer-readable storage, using claim 1 as an example, including (1) "establishing a target face . . .," (2) "determining initial values of a drawing start point for drawing the texture . . . so that the target face is fully mapped with texture;" and (3) "drawing a wireframe which divides the target face mapped with the texture on the display in accordance with the drawing start point." (CITER 1,8/9)

For these reasons, the correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Postal Service as first class
mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for
Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
On October 25, 2005 at 2005
STAAS & HALSEY

Serial No. 10/715,822

the number of drawing iterations."

The Examiner has not responded to Applicants' arguments that, according to an aspect of the invention, values of a drawing start point are modified during drawing iterations so as to display the target face with consecutive patterns as desired by the user, while Mukoyama merely teaches a direction of mapping be altered randomly for every display element.

As set forth in MPEP §707.07(f) entitled Answer All Material Traversed:

an examiner must provide clear explanations of all actions taken by the examiner during prosecution of an application.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the current Office Action is incomplete since the Examiner has not responded, at all, to many of Applicant's arguments traversing the rejections. Applicants respectfully requests the withdrawal of the finality of the current Office Action and a new Office Action issued addressing all of the Applicant's arguments and having the response date reset.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: October 25, 2005

By: Paul W. Bobowiec
Paul W. Bobowiec
Registration No. 47,431

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on Oct 25, 2005
STAAS & HALSEY